REMARKS

In the Notice of Abandonment dated September 24, 2003, the present application is indicated as being abandoned for failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office Action mailed January 16, 2003.

Applicant submits that a Response to Office Action was timely filed on July 16, 2003. Thus, the holding of abandonment is improper and may not be maintained. In this regard, Applicant respectfully submits evidencing materials as Attachments 1-3.

Attachment 1:

Attached is a copy of the Response to Office Action with a certification of transmission by facsimile stating the date of deposit as July 16, 2003 in compliance with 37 CFR 1.8. All the information with regard to the instant application is correctly represented in the cover page of the Response.

Attachment 2:

Attached is a copy of the auto-reply facsimile transmission showing that the Response to Office Action was received by the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office on July 16, 2003.

Attachment 3:

A copy of the Notice of Abandonment Under 37 CFR 1.53 (f) or (g).

The documentary evidence discussed herein and submitted herewith clearly establishes that Applicants timely filed a Response to Office Action on July 16, 2003.

Applicants also note that in the Notice of Abandonment, the Examiner stated that he left a message for Applicants' Attorney on August 6, but that the call was not returned. Applicants respectfully disagree. Applicants' attorney spoke to the Examiner on August 6, 2003 and refaxed the response to the Examiner. Applicants attach herewith Attachment 4, which is a cover sheet and auto-reply facsimile transmission indicating that the Applicants' Attorney refaxed the response on August 6, 2003.

Accordingly, Applicants herein petition to withdrawal the holding of abandonment set forth in the Notice of Abandonment dated September 24, 2003. Pursuant to MPEP § 711.03(c), no fee is required for the present Petition. However, if there are any charges due with respect to this Petition or otherwise, please charge them to Deposit Account No. 06-1130 maintained by Applicants' attorney.

PAX RECEIVED OCT 0 6 2003

PETITIONS OFFICE

For at least the reasons set forth herein above, the holding of Abandonment is improper and may not be maintained; withdrawal thereof and entry of the Response to Notice to File Missing Parts is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

CANTOR COLBURN LLP

Lisa A. Bongiovi

Registration No. 48,933

CANTOR COLBURN LLP

55 Griffin Road South

Bloomfield, CT 06002

Telephone (860) 286-2929

Facsimile (860) 286-0115

Customer No. 23413

October 6, 2003

	· ' <u>)</u>		
ERTIFICATE OF TI	RANSMISSION BY FACS	SIMILE (37 CFR 1.8)	Docket No. YKI-0066
Serial No. 09/820,140	Filing Date 03/28/2001	Examiner Granvill D. Lee	Group Art Unit 2825
ention: SEMICONDUC	CTOR DEVICE AND METHO	D OF PRODUCING THE SAME	3
•			
		•	
ereby cortify that this	Petition for Extensio	n of Time (1 pg); Response to Off	lice Action (4 pgs)
eing facsimile transmit	ted to the United States Patent	t and Trademark Office (Fax. No	0. 703-872-9318
July 16, 20	03		
(Date)			
		Patricia A. I	·lart
-		(Toped or Panted Name of Birso	Signing Certificate
		(Signature)	Jan)
		(5-8) 0	
			FAX RECEIVED
			LOCT 0 6 2003
	Note: Each paper must h	nave its own certificate of mailing.	PETITIONS OFFICE

PETITION FOR E	XTENSION OF TIME UND (Large Entity)	DER 37 CFR 1.136(a)	Docket No. YK1-0066
In Re Application Of:	Coshihiro Morimoto et al.		
Serial No. 09/820,140	Filing Date 03/28/2001	Examiner Granvill D. Lce	Group Art Unit 2825
Invention: SEMICON	DUCTOR DEVICE AND METH	OD OF PRODUCING THE SAM	E
	TO THE COMMISS	SIONER FOR PATENTS:	·
of 01/16/	2003 above-identified applicate		response to the Office Action
The requested extension One month	n is as follows (check time period	d desired): Three months Four mon	ths 🗆 Five months
from:	April 16, 2003	until: July 16,	
~~ ~~ ~~	Duic	Data	FAX RECEIVED
			.OCT 0 6 2003
The fee for the extension	on of time is \$930	and is to be paid as follows:	.001 0 0 2003
	nount of the fee is enclosed.		PETITIONS OFFICE
Deposit Account I	No. 06-1130	ees which may be required, or o	
	tension of time is required, plea s which may be required to Dep	se consider this a petition theref posit Account No. 06-1130	or and charge
Viver	mfn	Dated: July 16, 2003	
Lisa A. Bongiovi Registration No.: 48,933	Signatur wy		
Customer No.: 23413		on first class mall unde	document and fee is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as or 37 C.F.R. 1.8 and Is addressed to the Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA
		Signature o	f Person Mailing Correspondence
cc:		Typed or Printed	via facsimile Name of Person Mailing Correspondence

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	YOSHIHIRO MORIMOTO ET AL.)
-FF) Group Art Unit: 2825
Serial No.	09/820,140)
	•) Examiner: G. Lcc
Filed:	March 28, 2001)
)
For:	SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE AND)
	METHOD OF PRODUCING THE SAME)

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Via Facsimile to 703-872-9318 Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 223130-1450

PETITIONS OFFICE

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed January 16, 2003, Applicants request reconsideration in view of the following remarks for entry in the above-identified application.

I hereby certify that this correspondence was facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent Office (Fax No 703-872-9318) on	
July 16, 2003	_
(Date of Deposit)	-
Patricia A Harr (Name of Detaph Vailing Parker) Signature Date	13

REMARKS

Claims 1-7 are pending in the application. Applicants request reconsideration in view of the remarks submitted herewith. As will be discussed in detail below, it is believed that the application is in condition for allowance.

Claims 1, 4, and 7 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Ohtani et al. (US 5,605,846) ("Ohtani"). "A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference." *Verdegaal Bros. V. Union Oil Co. of California*, 814 F.2d 628, 631, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987). Moreover, "[t]he identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the * * * claim." *Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co.*, 868 F.2d 1226, 1236, 9 USPQ2d 1913, 1920 (Fed. Cir. 1989).

Claims 1 and 4 include the following limitation: "eliminating projections generated by said heating on said non-crystal semiconductor film using a physical elimination method."

Claim 7 includes the following limitation: "wherein said non-crystal semiconductor film has a planar surface formed by eliminating, using ion beam irradiation, projections generated on said non-crystal semiconductor film due to heating of said non-crystal semiconductor film." Ohtani does not disclose either of those limitations.

The Examiner asserts that Ohtani teaches using an excimer laser to create a uniform layer; however, Ohtani only discusses making the layer more uniform in terms of its crystallinity. Column 1, lines 52-60 explains that the crystallinity of the silicon film depends on the energy of the laser and thus, it is very difficult to stably obtain a crystalline silicon film with a high reliability. As such, Ohtani is directed to an amorphous silicon film that can be uniformly crystallized with a high reliability. See Column 3, lines 55-58. There is absolutely no disclosure in Ohtani regarding eliminating projections on the semiconductor film. Indeed, there can be no disclosure of eliminating projections in Ohtani because there is no disclosure in Ohtani that projections even exist. Thus, Ohtani does not disclose, either expressly or inherently, all of the limitations of claims 1, 4, and 7. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that this rejection be withdrawn.

Claims 2-3 and 5-6 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohtani in view of Williams et al (US 6,238,582). For an obviousness rejection to be proper, the

Examiner must meet the burden of establishing that all elements of the invention are disclosed in the prior art; that the prior art relied upon, coupled with knowledge generally available in the art at the time of the invention, must contain some suggestion or incentive that would have motivated the skilled artisan to modify a reference or combined references; and that the proposed modification of the prior art must have had a reasonable expectation of success, determined from the vantage point of the skilled artisan at the time the invention was made. In re Fine, 5 U.S.P.Q.2d 1596, 1598 (Fed. Cir. 1988); In Re Wilson, 165 U.S.P.Q. 494, 496 (C.C.P.A. 1970); Amgen v. Chugai Pharmaceuticals Co., 927 U.S.P.Q.2d 1016, 1023 (Fed. Cir. 1996).

Claims 2-3 and 5-6 include all of the limitations of claim 1. As discussed above, Ohtani does not teach or suggest "climinating projections generated by said heating on said non-crystal semiconductor film using a physical elimination method." Thus, the references do not teach or suggest all of the limitations of the claims.

Moreover, obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988); *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992); MPEP § 2143.01. There is no teaching in the cited art to combine the references in an attempt to produce the claimed invention. As explained above, Ohtani does not disclose that projections even exist. Thus, there is no motivation for the application of ion milling of Williams after the laser annealing process in Ohtani when Ohtani is not even aware that the projections are created due to the laser annealing process. One skilled in the art would not have combined Ohtani and Williams to reached the claimed invention. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection be withdrawn.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the instant application is in condition for allowance. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that this application be allowed and a Notice of Allowance issued. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference with Applicants' attorneys would be advantageous to the disposition of this case, the Examiner is cordially requested to telephone the undersigned.

In the event the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks deems additional fees to be due in connection with this application, Applicants' attorney hereby authorizes that such fee be charged to Deposit Account No. 06-1130.

Respectfully submitted,

CANTOR COLBURN LLP

Lisa A. Bongiovi

Registration No. 48,933

CANTOR COLBURN LLP

55 Griffin Road South

Bloomfield, CT 06002

Telephone (860) 286-2929

Facsimile (860) 286-0115

Customer No. 23413

July 16, 2003

RightFAX

7/16/03 12:39 PAGE 1/1 TO: Auto-reply fax to 8602 15718 'COMPANY!

Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission



TO:

Fax Sender at 8502425718

Fax Information Date Received:

Total Pages:

7/16/03 12:33:14 PM [Eastern Daylight Time]

6 (including cover page)

ADVISORY: This is an automatically generated return receipt confirmation of the facsimile transmission received by the Office. Please check to make sure that the number of pages listed as received in Total Pages above matches what was intended to be sent. Applicants are advised to retain this receipt in the unlikely event that proof of this facsimile transmission is necessary. Applicants are also advised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures set forth in 37 CFR 1.8(a) and (b), 37 CFR 1.6(f). Trademark Applicants, also see the Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure (TMEP) section 306 et seq.

Received Cover Page

63/334/10 EaiPi Na	iforkmala el 11.	1, 7,	YEZ OBSE
	Filing Dale 43/78/Z001	Cs quest Grandii D. F&s	Group Art Unit 2815
lion: Sp.AffCandd	PETON DEVICE AND METH	imal bhi daindhobh qo do	
		an of Tiam (1 val. Response to Diffe (there pro- of commences)	
		ni and Tredemark Difes (Fax. No.	743-572-9312
Jaly 16,			
		Patride A M	Jan I
			1
	Kalsi gida İrain Larin	has plin men cresidant of molioc.	
			e linia





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Parent and Tyademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PO BOX 1459
Alocadra, Viginia 27313-1450
www.spik.gr.

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNIEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/820,140	03/28/2001	Yoshihiro Macimoto	YXI 0066	2123
23413	7590 09/24/2003			
CANTOR C	COLBURN, LLP		EXAMI	NER
	ROAD SOUTH		LEE, GRA	NVILL D
BLOOMFIE	LD, CT 06002	LECENVEM .		
	īī	r 信能性 li v is iiii	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	1 L	TE COURT IN	2825	
	137	ECEIVED SEP 26 2003	DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003	3
	_ `		•	
	p ~ ;	antor colburn CLE		
	C	Wild I One -		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/820,140	MORIMOTO ET AL.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit
	Granvill D. Lee	2825
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		
This application is abandoned in view of:		
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of file period for reply (including a total extension of time of 	Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on _	
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does		
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1,113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37	d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); CFR 1.114).	or (3) a timely filed Request for
(c) A reply was received onbut it does not constit final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See	rute a proper reply, or a bona fide atte explanation in box 7 below).	empt at a proper reply, to the non-
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.		
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee an from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL- 	85).	
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, wa), which is after the expiration of the statutory particular (PTOL-85).	is received on (with a Certific period for payment of the issue fee (a	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated nd publication fee) set in the Notice of
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	ce of 5 is due.	
The issue fce required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has r	not been received.	
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as req Allowability (PTO-37). 		•
 (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. 	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tra	nsmission dated), which is
(b) 🔲 No corrected drawings have been received.		
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants. 	he attorney or agent of record, the as	signee of the entire interest, or all of
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by a 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	an attorney or agent (acting in a repre	esentative capacity under 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed class.	erence rendered on and becausims.	use the period for seeking court review
7. The reason(s) below.		
Applicant called on August 6, 2003 and left messa	ige but call not returned. γ	M-0550
		ECTS: CALL EALEN SOO EBall Lab. Ealen Sough Madhen Sall Ealen Soo
Pelitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withor minimize any negative effects on patent term.	iraw the holding of abandonment under 3	7 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to

1161.

CANTOR COLBURN LLP

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ATTORNEYS
55 Griffin Road South
Bloomfield, CT 06002

Tel: (860) 286-2929 Fax: (860) 286-0115

	FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET
DATE:	August 6, 2003
TO:	EXAMINER LEE
COMPANY:	United States Palent & Trademark Office
FAX NO.:	(703) 872-9306 TEL. NO.:
FROM:	Lisa Bongiovi
OUR REF.:	YKI-0066 YOUR REF.: 09/820,140
- -	MBER OF PAGES SENT G THIS COVER SHEET):9
COMMENTS:	This is a copy of correspondence that was faxed to the USPTO on the dat of the Certificate of Facsimile Transmission dated July 16, 2003, which includes: 1. Certificate of Facsimile Transmission from July 16, 2003 (1 page) 2. Petition for Extension of Time (1 page) 3. Response (4 page) 4. Transaction Report indicating transmission went through (1 page) 5. Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission (1 pg) Lisa A. Bongiovi Date

IMPORTANT: This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that reading, disseminating, distributing or copying this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone, and return the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service. Thank you.

OCT-06-2003 MON 03:31 PM CANTOR COLBURN LLP

08/86/83 15:11:13

8602060137 RightFAX

Page 001

602860137 COMPANY: * TO: Auto-reply fax to

Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission



TO:

Fax Sender at 8602860137

Fax Information

Date Received:

Total Pages:

8/6/03 3:01:15 PM [Eastern Daylight Time]

9 (including cover page)

ADV/SORY: This is an automatically generated return receipt confirmation of the facsimile transmission received by the Office. Please check to make sure that the number of pages listed as received in Total Pages above matches what was intended to be sent. Applicants are advised to retain this receipt in the unlikely event that proof of this facsimile transmission is necessary. Applicants are also advised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures set forth in 37 CFR 1.8(a) and (b), 37 CFR 1.6(f). Trademark Applicants, also see the Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure (TMEP) section 306 et seq.

Received Cover Page

0/2/01 13:22 CAE 8802940	OISY CANYON COLDUNN, LLF	Q 001
	CANTOR COLGURN LLP THULLE FOR THOMPY ATTOMS BY 50 Childe Road South B comfield, CT 06002	
	Tel: (860) 286 2525 Fast (860) 286-0115	
والمرطاب بالمحالية المحال	FACSIMILE TPANSMITTAL SHEAT	
DATE	August 6, 2003	
	EXAMINER USP	·
CONTANY:	United States Pulsat & Trademank Office	
	(703),872-93HE TUL. NO.:	—
	Liso Bouriegi	
	XKI-0066 YOUR RULE: 09/820,140	
TC TAL NU	MBER OF PAGES SHAT IG THIS COVER SHEET):	
CUWMENTS.	Thirds a copy of correspondence that was fixed to the USF (O of the Certificate of Pacsimile Transmission dated July 16, 2003 inclinter: 1. Certificate of Facsimile Transmission from July 16, 2003 (1 pt. 1). Pethiam for Factuation of Time (1 pune) 3. Response (4 page) 4. Transaction Report Indicating transmission went through 1 pt. 1. Auto-Raply Facsimile Transmission (1 pt.) Lista A. Mongion Date [14a A. Mongion Date	тбе) qKc)
1151.	may problems with this transmission, picture call Party at (860) 256	id, and
निर्मातिक स्टब्स्ट्रिस्ट्रिस्ट्रिस्ट्रिस्ट्रिस्ट्रिस्ट्रिस्ट्रिस्ट्रिस्ट्रिस्ट्रिस्ट्रिस्ट्रिस्ट्रिस्ट्रिस्ट्रि स्टिस्ट्रिस्ट्रिस्ट्रिस्ट्रिस्ट्रिस्ट्रिस्ट्रिस्ट्रिस्ट्रिस्ट्रिस्ट्रिस्ट्रिस्ट्रिस्ट्रिस्ट्रिस्ट्रिस्ट्रिस्ट्	Salge is minimized up to the three bad executed from the house under applicable flow is no the authorized, as included in all executed from the household each three or the employee of appeal responsible flow on its face better position and an employee of appeal responsible flow of the position of the end of the lateral position of the end of the lateral position of the end of the lateral position of the lateral	th that properties